

Mr John Coles Bury Hill Landscape Supplies Ltd The Estate Office Old Bury Hill Westcott Nr Dorking Surrey, RH4 3JU

> 13th October 2020 Our Ref: TOHA/20/9687/3/SS Your Ref: see below

Dear Sirs

Topsoil Analysis Report: Bury Hill Horsham Yard – Contract10

We have completed the analysis of the soil sample recently submitted, referenced *Contract10*, and have pleasure reporting our findings.

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the sample for general landscape purposes (trees, shrubs, amenity grass). In addition, this sample has been assessed to determine its compliance with the requirements of the British Standard for Topsoil (*BS3882:2015 – Specification for Topsoil – Table 1, Multipurpose Topsoil*).

This report presents the results of analysis for the sample submitted to our office, and it should be considered 'indicative' of the topsoil source. The report and results should therefore not be used by third parties as a means of verification or validation testing or waste designation purposes, especially after the topsoil has left the Bury Hill Landscape Supplies Ltd site.

SAMPLE EXAMINATION

The sample was described as a very dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 3/2), slightly moist, friable, slightly calcareous SAND with a weakly developed, very fine to fine granular structure*. The sample was slightly stony and contained a moderate proportion of organic fines. No unusual odours, deleterious materials, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

*This appraisal of soil structure was made from examination of a disturbed sample(s). Structure is a key soil characteristic that may only be accurately assessed by examination in an in-situ state.

Tim O'Hare Associates LLP Howbery Park Wallingford Oxfordshire OX10 8BA T:01491 822653 E:info@toha.co.uk www.toha.co.uk

ANALYTICAL SCHEDULE

The sample was submitted to a UKAS and MCERTS accredited laboratory for a range of physical and chemical tests to confirm the composition and fertility of the soil, and the concentration of selected potential contaminants. The following parameters were determined:

- detailed particle size analysis ('5 sands', silt, clay);
- stone content (2-20mm, 20-50mm, >50mm);
- saturated hydraulic conductivity;
- pH and electrical conductivity values;
- exchangeable sodium percentage;
- major plant nutrients (N, P, K, Mg);
- organic matter content;
- C:N ratio;
- heavy metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Se, Zn, B);
- total cyanide and total (mono) phenols;
- speciated PAHs (US EPA16 suite);
- aromatic and aliphatic TPH (C5-C35 banding);
- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX);
- asbestos screen.

The results are presented on the attached Certificate of Analysis and an interpretation of the results is given below.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Particle Size Analysis and Stone Content

The sample fell into the *sand* texture class and would be described as light in texture and fell outside of the specified textural range given by *BS3882:2015 – Figure 1*. Further testing found the sample's sand content to comprise mainly *medium sand* (0.25-0.50mm) and *coarse sand* (0.50-1.00mm).

Such soils typically possess reduced water and nutrient retention capacities. However, in this instance the soil's organic matter content (5.3%) is likely to offset these factors and provide a suitable growing medium for general landscape applications.

The stone content of the sample was low and, as such, stones should not restrict the use of the soil for general landscape purposes.

Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity

The saturated hydraulic conductivity test is designed to test the sample's drainage potential under a moderate degree of compaction. The saturated hydraulic conductivity of the sample was moderately high (46 mm/hr) and would be considered suitable for general landscape purposes.

pH and Electrical Conductivity Values

The sample was strongly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.1). This pH value would be considered suitable for general landscape purposes providing species with a wide pH tolerance or those known to prefer alkaline soils are selected for planting, turfing and seeding.

The electrical conductivity (salinity) value (water extract) was moderate, which indicates that soluble salts were not present at levels that would be harmful to plants.

The electrical conductivity value by CaSO₄ extract (*BS3882* requirement) fell below the maximum specified value (3300 μ S/cm) given in *BS3882:2015 – Table 1*.

Organic Matter and Fertility Status

The sample was adequately supplied with organic matter and all major plant nutrients.

The C:N ratio of the sample was acceptable for general landscape purposes.

Potential Contaminants

With reference to *BS3882:2015* - *Table 1*: Notes 3 and 4, there is a recommendation to confirm levels of potential contaminants in relation to the topsoil's proposed end use. This includes human health, environmental protection and metals considered toxic to plants. In the absence of site-specific assessment criteria, the concentrations that affect human health have been compared with the *residential with homegrown produce* land use in the Suitable For Use Levels (S4ULs) presented in *The LQM/CIEH S4ULs for Human Health Risk Assessment* (2015) and the DEFRA SP1010: *Development of Category 4 Screening Levels* (C4SLs) for Assessment of Land Affected by Contamination – Policy Companion Document (2014).

Of the potential contaminants determined, none was found at levels that exceeded their guideline values.

Phytotoxic Contaminants

Of the phytotoxic (toxic to plants) contaminants determined (copper, nickel, zinc), none was found at levels that exceeded the maximum permissible levels specified in *BS3882:2015 – Table 1*.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the sample for general landscape purposes (trees, shrubs, amenity grass). In addition, this sample has been assessed to determine its compliance with the requirements of the British Standard for Topsoil (*BS3882:2015 – Specification for Topsoil – Table 1, Multipurpose Topsoil*).

From the soil examination and subsequent laboratory analysis, the sample was described as a strongly alkaline, non-saline, slightly calcareous sand, with a weak structure and moderate stone content. The sample contained sufficient reserves of organic matter and all major plant nutrients. Of the potential contaminants determined, none exceeded their respective guideline values.

To conclude, based on our findings, the topsoil represented by this sample would be considered suitable for general landscape purposes, provided species with a wide pH tolerance or those tolerant of alkaline soil conditions are selected and the soil's physical condition is satisfactory.

The sample was largely compliant with the requirements of the British Standard for Topsoil (*BS3882:2015 – Specification for Topsoil – Table 1, Multipurpose Topsoil*), with the exception of the elevated total sand content. On this occasion, this non-compliance is considered minor when reviewed in the context of all the other results.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Soil Handling Recommendations

It is important to maintain the physical condition of the soil and avoid structural damage during all phases of soil handling (e.g. stockpiling, respreading, cultivating, planting, seeding or turfing). As a consequence, soil handling operations should be carried out when soil is reasonably dry and non-plastic (friable) in consistency.

It is important to ensure that the soil is not unnecessarily compacted by trampling or trafficking by site machinery, and soil handling should be stopped during and after heavy rainfall and not continued until the soil is friable in consistency. If the soil is structurally damaged and compacted at any stage during the course of soiling or landscaping works, it should be cultivated appropriately to relieve the compaction and to restore the soil's structure prior to any planting, turfing or seeding.

Further details on soil handling are provided in Annex A of BS3882:2015.

We hope this report meets with your approval and provides the necessary information. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if we can be of further assistance.

Noscal

Yours faithfully

Aaron Cross BSc MSc Soil Scientist

Tim O'Hare BSc MSc FISoilSci MBIAC CSci Principal Consultant

For & on behalf of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP



philes

Contract10

42 24 91 0 46 8.1 1091 3091 7.1 5.3 0.19 16 51 1238 136 11 0.3 19 < 4.0 16 38 < 0.3 16 < 1.0 63 < 1 < 1.0 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.80 < 0.001 < 0.00' < 0.001 < 1.0 < 2.0 < 8.0 < 8.0 < 10 < 0.00' < 0.001 < 1.0 < 2.0 < 10 17 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.00' < 0.001

Client:	Bury Hill Landscape Supplies Ltd		
Project:	Bury Hill Horsham Yard		
Job: Date:	Topsoil Analysis - BS3882:2015 13/10/2020		
Job Ref No:	TOHA/20/9687/3/SS		
Sample Refere	ence		Accreditation
Clay (<0.002m	n)	%	UKAS
	Silt (0.002-0.05mm)		UKAS
	l (0.05-0.15mm)	%	UKAS
Fine Sand (0.1		%	UKAS
Medium Sand (%	UKAS
Coarse Sand (%	UKAS
	and (1.0-2.0mm)	%	UKAS UKAS
Total Sand (0.0	UK Classification)	70	UKAS
Stones (2-20m		% DW	GLP
Stones (20-50r		% DW	GLP
Stones (>50mm		% DW	GLP
Saturated Hydr	aulic Conductivity	mm/hr	A2LA
pH Value (1:2.5	water extremt)	units	UKAS
		uS/cm	UKAS
	Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract) Electrical Conductivity (1:2 CaSO ₄ extract)		UKAS
	Sodium Percentage	uS/cm %	UKAS
Organic Matter		%	UKAS
Total Nitrogen (%	UKAS
C : N Ratio		ratio	UKAS
Extractable Pho		mg/l	UKAS
Extractable Pot		mg/l	UKAS
Extractable Ma	gnesium	mg/l	UKAS
Total Arsenic (A		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Cadmium		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Chromiun		mg/kg	MCERTS
Hexavalent Chi		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Copper (0		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Lead (Pb)		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Mercury (mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Nickel (Ni		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Selenium	(Se)	mg/kg	MCERTS
Total Zinc (Zn)	Poron (P)	mg/kg	MCERTS
Water Soluble		mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS
Total Cyanide (Total (mono) Pl		mg/kg	MCERTS
		mg/ng	MOLITO
Naphthalene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Acenaphthylene	9	mg/kg	MCERTS
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Fluorene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Anthracene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Pyrene Benzo(a)anthra	copo	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS
Benzo(a)anthra Chrysene	UCIIC	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS
Benzo(b)fluorar	thene	mg/kg	MCERTS
Benzo(k)fluorar		mg/kg	MCERTS
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	MCERTS
Indeno(1,2,3-co		mg/kg	MCERTS
Dibenzo(a,h)an		mg/kg	MCERTS
Benzo(g,h,i)per		mg/kg	MCERTS
Total PAHs (su	m USEPA16)	mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH >	C5 - C6	me/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH > Aliphatic TPH >		mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH >	C16 - C21	mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH >	C21 - C35	mg/kg	MCERTS
Aliphatic TPH (C5 - C35)	mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH >	•C5 - C7	mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH >		mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS
Aromatic TPH >	•C21 - C35	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS
		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH (
		mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH (mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS
Aromatic TPH (Benzene			MCERTS MCERTS
Aromatic TPH (Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p & m-xylene		mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS
Aromatic TPH (Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p & m-xylene o-xylene	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS
Aromatic TPH (Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p & m-xylene o-xylene		mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS

S = SAND

Visual Examination The sample was described as a very dark brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 3/3), slightly moist, friable, non-calcareous SAND with a weakly developed, very fine to fine granular structure. The sample was virtually stone-free and contained a high proportion of organic fines and frequent woody fragments. No unusual odours, deleterious materials, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed. AGOST

Aaron Cross BSc MSc Soil Scientist

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with

The contents of this certificate shall not be reproduced without the express written permission of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP

Not-detected